Prismatic Horizons
Journal of Social Science and Humanities
www.phjssh.lexarcheus.com
Vol.-03, Issue-04 (Oct-Dec, 2025)



Women in Agritech and Opportunities for Rural Economy

Amna Mirza[1], Priti Rana[2]

[1] Associate Professor, SPM College, University of Delhi, India
[2] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, India

*** Corresponding Author:**

Amna Mirza
amna@spm.du.ac.in
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18185430

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received	10 Oct, 2025
Revised	19 Nov, 2025
Accepted	25 Dec, 2025
Available Online	30 Dec, 2025

ARTICLE ID
PHJSSH0304001

KEYWORDS

Women in Agriculture, Agritech, Rural Economy, Gender and Technology, Artificial Intelligence

ABSTRACT

The rural economy in India is undergoing significant change, largely driven by agritech advancements encompassing digital platforms, artificial intelligence (AI), and technology-driven agricultural methods. Although agritech is frequently presented as a solution to agrarian challenges, climate-related risks, and decreasing farm revenues, women's involvement in this developing sector is both restricted and inconsistent. Despite their crucial roles in agricultural production, livestock management, and related rural endeavours, women persistently encounter systemic obstacles. These include limited land ownership, unequal access to digital technologies, insufficient skills training, restricted credit access, and exclusion from institutional decision-making. This study investigates the role of women in agritech and examines how their participation can create lasting opportunities in the rural economy. Using a gender-aware political economy and a capability-based analysis, the research draws on existing data from Indian government reports, international development organizations, and academic publications. The analysis shows that women's involvement in agritech leads to increased agricultural productivity, a wider range of livelihoods, entrepreneurial growth, and improved socio-economic outcomes for households and communities. Concurrently, enduring obstacles, including the digital gender divide, unpaid caregiving duties, algorithmic bias within AI systems, and deficiencies in policy execution, persistently impede women's full engagement. This article posits that women's integration into agritech transcends mere gender equity; it constitutes a strategic necessity for fostering inclusive and robust rural economic progress. The concluding section underscores the imperative for gender-sensitive agritech policies, focused skill development programs, institutional backing, and inclusive technology governance to guarantee that technological advancements in agriculture facilitate equitable rural transformation.

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Prismatic Horizons: Journal of Social Science and Humanities
ISSN: 2583-9284
Vol. 03, No. 04, Oct-Dec, 2025
www.phjssh.lexarcheus.com

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Conceptual Context: Gender, Technology, and Agrarian Transformation

The convergence of gender, technology, and agriculture has emerged as one of the most critical sites for reimagining inclusive rural development in the twenty-first century. As agrarian economies across the Global South confront challenges of climate change, declining farm profitability, labour precarity, and youth disengagement from agriculture, technological innovation is increasingly presented as a pathway to revitalisation. Agritech encompassing digital platforms, artificial intelligence (AI), precision farming, data-driven advisory services, and market linkages has gained prominence as a key driver of agricultural modernisation. Conversely, societal dynamics invariably shape technological advancements. The effects of these changes are significantly influenced by existing power structures, particularly those related to gender, class, caste, and land ownership. Consequently, the involvement of women in agritech emerges as a critical determinant in assessing whether technological shifts within agriculture will exacerbate entrenched disparities or contribute to the development of equitable and enduring rural economies. Women are not peripheral participants in agriculture; rather, they represent a significant segment of cultivators, agricultural labourers, and related workers. Nevertheless, their access to technology, skill development, financial resources, and decision-making processes is still constrained. Consequently, analyzing women's involvement in agritech transcends the realms of representation and equity, emerging as a critical concern within the political economy of rural development. This piece conceptualizes women in agritech as economic agents, whose active participation has the potential to transform production systems, value chains, and rural livelihoods. By positioning agritech within the wider discussions on gender justice, development, and technological governance, this study posits that inclusive agritech is indispensable for constructing resilient rural economies in the context of AI-driven agriculture.

1.2 Historical Background: Women in India’s Agrarian Economy

Historically, women have been integral to India's agrarian economy, serving as cultivators, agricultural workers, livestock caretakers, seed preservers, and processors of food and agricultural products. Pre-colonial agrarian systems were significantly dependent on women's labor, especially in subsistence farming, seed selection, and post-harvest processes. Nevertheless, women's contributions were largely subsumed within household production and community-based systems, thereby remaining unrecognized in formal economic assessments and policy considerations. Colonial agrarian restructuring exacerbated gendered inequalities by formalizing land revenue systems and private property structures that favoured male ownership. Women's customary rights to land and communal resources were systematically diminished, thereby increasing their reliance on male relatives and restricting their access to productive resources. These structural exclusions continued into the post-independence era. Following independence, agrarian development policies prioritised land reforms, irrigation expansion, mechanisation, and the Green Revolution. While these interventions contributed to increased agricultural output, they largely benefited male landholders and commercial farmers. Women especially from marginalised caste and class backgrounds were excluded from institutional credit, extension services, training programmes, and access to new technologies. Mechanisation displaced women from several agricultural tasks without corresponding investment in skill development or alternative employment opportunities. From the 1980s onwards, feminist scholars critically analysed these patterns, highlighting how

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

women's increasing participation in agriculture driven by male out-migration and agrarian distress led to what was termed the "feminisation of agriculture" without a feminisation of rights, resources, or recognition. Women became more visible as labourers but remained marginal as farmers, innovators, or decision-makers. This historical legacy of gendered exclusion continues to shape women's engagement with agritech in the contemporary period.

1.3 Current Scenario: Agritech and Gender in Contemporary India

Agritech has become a key focus in India's agricultural policy and rural development strategies in recent years. Initiatives like the Digital Agriculture Mission, AgriStack, and Startup India are pushing digital platforms, AI-driven crop advice, satellite-guided precision farming, climate-resilient technologies, and Agri-startups. The objectives encompass enhancing productivity, facilitating market access for farmers, and mitigating the effects of climate change. Nevertheless, rural women continue to encounter substantial obstacles in engaging with agritech initiatives. National surveys and policy assessments consistently indicate that women often experience inequalities in accessing smartphones, internet services, digital skills, land tenure, and financial resources. Consequently, women's ability to leverage technology-based agricultural platforms frequently encounters limitations stemming from their involvement in unpaid caregiving responsibilities and restrictions on their physical movement. As agritech increasingly incorporates data and artificial intelligence, the potential exists for these pre-existing disparities to be intensified, possibly excluding women from newly available economic opportunities. Conversely, the experiences of women-led agritech initiatives, Farmer Producer Organisations (FPOs), and Agri-enterprises demonstrate that equitable technological access can yield substantial economic and social advantages. Specifically, women's participation in digital marketplaces, Agri-processing, and input services has been associated with income diversification, the creation of employment opportunities within local communities, and improved household welfare. These developments highlight the capacity of women's involvement in agritech to transform the rural economic environment.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Women, Agriculture, and Structural Inequality

Scholarly discussions about women in agriculture have consistently highlighted the paradox of women's significant participation in agricultural work, alongside their persistent exclusion from land ownership, access to institutional credit, and involvement in decision-making (Agarwal, 1994). Feminist political economists posit that gendered disparities in agriculture extend beyond cultural factors, representing a structural barrier that negatively impacts productivity, food security, and rural development. Research indicates that female farmers frequently cultivate smaller landholdings, encounter restricted access to essential resources, and receive less comprehensive extension services than their male counterparts. These inequalities create differences in productivity that are socially constructed, rather than being caused by technical limitations. The FAO (2011) posits that rectifying the gender disparity in access to productive resources could potentially augment farm yields by as much as 30 percent, thereby substantially enhancing food security.

2.2 Gender and Technology in Agriculture

As mechanization and technological progress accelerated, scholars-initiated investigations into the impact of technology on gender dynamics within the agricultural sector. Initial research indicated that technological transformations frequently displaced women from conventional

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

agricultural labor, concurrently consolidating men's dominance in capital-intensive farming practices. Mechanization, for example, reduced women's involvement in tasks such as weeding and harvesting, without providing substitute employment opportunities. The emergence of digital agriculture has, in turn, reintroduced these concerns framework. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2018) and the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD, 2021) have documented the persistence of digital gender disparities in rural locales, where women exhibit lower rates of digital device ownership, internet access, and engagement with ICT-based agricultural services. Furthermore, UN Women (2022) emphasizes that digital exclusion exacerbates pre-existing inequalities concerning education, mobility, and income.

2.3 Agritech, AI, and Rural Development

Contemporary scholarly discourse frequently positions agritech as a catalyst for significant change. This sector holds promise for resolving agricultural challenges through enhanced efficiency, data-driven decision-making, and market integration. The World Bank's 2019 research underscores the capacity of digital advisory services and artificial intelligence-driven instruments to bolster both productivity and resilience. Consequently, the existing data suggests an ideal situation. However, researchers express reservations, suggesting that agritech often emphasizes scale, profitability, and data collection, which could disadvantage smallholders and female farmers. The World Economic Forum (2023) highlights concern regarding algorithmic bias within AI-driven agricultural practices, observing that datasets frequently inadequately represent women's farming methodologies and labor contributions. Consequently, in the absence of gender-responsive design, AI systems may inadvertently perpetuate existing exclusions rather than rectify them.

2.4 Policy Literature and Gaps

Indian policy is progressively acknowledging the role of agritech in promoting rural advancement. Digital platforms, agricultural startups, and Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) are emphasized by both NITI Aayog (2021) and the Ministry of Agriculture as crucial catalysts for economic growth. Despite this, gender mainstreaming remains insufficient, with a lack of emphasis on women's land rights, unpaid domestic responsibilities, and access to digital tools. Despite recent efforts to bolster women's entrepreneurship and involvement in Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs), a disparity persists between the stated policy objectives and their actual execution. Women frequently find themselves depicted as passive recipients of aid, rather than as active innovators, leaders, or co-creators within agritech environments. This research endeavours to address this inequity by emphasizing women's roles as key participants in the agritech-fueled transformation of rural economies.

3. Statement of the Problem

India's rural agrarian economy faces a confluence of interconnected challenges, encompassing diminishing farm incomes, climate fluctuations, labour hardships, and unequal access to technological advancements. Agritech has been advocated as a crucial catalyst for agricultural modernization, with the potential to boost productivity, facilitate improved market access, and bolster climate resilience. Nevertheless, the swift proliferation of agritech has not adequately addressed the deeply ingrained gender disparities that influence access to agricultural resources and opportunities. Women represent a significant portion of India's agricultural workforce, especially in smallholder farming, agricultural labour, livestock management, and post-harvest

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

activities. Despite this, they continue to be marginalized within agritech ecosystems, primarily due to structural impediments such as limited land ownership, restricted access to institutional credit, lower digital literacy, and disproportionate unpaid care responsibilities. These limitations impede women's capacity to engage substantively in technology-enhanced agriculture and to reap the rewards of evolving value chains. Furthermore, agritech endeavours often prioritize commercially viable crops, larger-scale landholders, and data-driven farming practices, which inadvertently disadvantages women farmers and agricultural labourers. The increasing dependence on AI systems presents further challenges, specifically concerning algorithmic bias and the exclusion of women's agricultural expertise from datasets and decision-making procedures. Consequently, the fundamental issue transcends the underrepresentation of women within agritech; it also involves policy and institutional structures that do not acknowledge women as crucial contributors to agricultural innovation and rural economic advancement. Without intentional gender inclusivity, agritech risks intensifying existing disparities and jeopardizing the enduring success of rural development programs.

Objectives of the Study

The study aims to examine women's participation in agritech and its significance for the development of the rural economy in India. The specific objectives are:

1. To analyse women's position in agritech within the broader agrarian economy.
2. To assess how agritech and AI-enabled innovations influence women's livelihood opportunities.
3. To identify key opportunities created by women's participation in agritech for rural economic sustainability.
4. To examine the major barriers limiting women's access to agritech ecosystems.
5. To evaluate agritech-related policies from a gender-responsive perspective and suggest measures for inclusive rural development.

4. Research Questions

- **Central Research Question:**
  What is the significance of women's participation in agritech for the transformation of the rural economy in India?
- **Related Research Questions:**
  How does gender-inclusive agritech contribute to sustainable rural economic development in the context of AI-driven technological change?
  What structural, institutional, and socio-cultural barriers limit women's participation in agritech ecosystems?
  How can agritech policies and programmes be redesigned to promote equitable access, agency, and leadership for rural women?

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

5. Methodology

5.1 Research Design

This study uses a qualitative, interdisciplinary, and policy-focused approach to examine women's involvement in agritech and its effects on rural economic development. The research is conceptual and analytical in nature, relying primarily on secondary data sources. This approach is appropriate given the study's objective of synthesising policy frameworks, institutional practices, and scholarly debates to generate gender-sensitive insights for agritech governance. This study uses ideas from gender studies, development economics, rural sociology, and science and technology studies to provide a complete understanding of agritech, considering it as both a technological and social process.

5.2 Data Sources

The present analysis utilizes a diverse array of secondary data, encompassing governmental policy documents and reports from the Government of India. These sources pertain to agriculture, agritech, rural development, and women's empowerment, with a specific focus on publications issued by the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, NITI Aayog, and associated organizations. Reports and datasets from international organisations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), UN Women, the World Bank, and the World Economic Forum. Peer-reviewed academic journals, edited volumes, and books published over the last two decades focusing on gender, agriculture, technology, and rural development. The use of multiple data sources enables triangulation and strengthens the validity of the analysis.

5.3 Analytical Framework

The present investigation utilizes a comprehensive analytical framework, incorporating feminist political economy, the capability approach, and gender-responsive policy analysis. Feminist political economy offers a set of analytical tools for scrutinizing the interplay between agritech and prevailing power dynamics within the agricultural sector, with a specific focus on gender, labor, land tenure, and financial resources. This perspective underscores the potential for technological advancements to either exacerbate or mitigate structural inequalities, contingent upon the prevailing institutional context. The capability approach, as articulated by Amartya Sen, is used to assess whether agritech expands women's substantive freedoms and real opportunities to pursue livelihoods they value. Rather than focusing solely on efficiency or productivity, this framework emphasises agency, choice, and well-being as central indicators of development. Gender-responsive policy analysis evaluates the extent to which agritech policies recognise women's differentiated needs, constraints, and contributions. It examines policy design, implementation mechanisms, and monitoring frameworks to assess inclusivity and accountability.

5.4 Methods of Analysis

The present investigation employs a suite of qualitative analytical methodologies to conduct a systematic examination of policy documents, reports, and academic literature. Thematic content analysis is utilized to discern recurring themes pertinent to women's access to technology, skills development, financial resources, and institutional backing. Comparative analysis is implemented to contextualize the Indian experience within the broader global discourse concerning agritech and gender inclusivity. Furthermore, critical discourse analysis

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

is employed to scrutinize the representation of women within agritech narratives, policy frameworks, and developmental discourses. Gender-disaggregated indicators derived from national surveys and international datasets are leveraged to illuminate structural disparities and emerging trends. Although the study does not incorporate primary fieldwork, the triangulation of varied sources serves to augment analytical rigor and depth.

5.5 Limitations

A significant constraint of this investigation stems from its dependence on secondary data, potentially obscuring nuanced local experiences and regional disparities. Nevertheless, the interdisciplinary approach and the extensive utilization of reliable sources offer a robust basis for policy-oriented inferences. Subsequent research that integrates primary fieldwork could further enhance comprehension of women lived realities within agritech ecosystems.

6. Analysis and Discussion

The incorporation of women into agritech ecosystems has substantial repercussions for the rural economy, extending beyond individual empowerment to encompass structural transformations in agricultural production, labor relations, and rural markets. This segment critically examines both the advantages and disadvantages associated with women's engagement in agritech, contextualizing them within wider political economy and development discourses.

6.1 Opportunities and Prospects of Women's Participation in Agritech

Women's involvement in agritech contributes to heightened agricultural productivity and efficiency through the facilitation of informed decision-making on farms. Digital advisory services, mobile weather alerts, AI-driven crop diagnostics, and precision farming tools furnish women farmers with timely information regarding input utilization, pest control, and climate-related hazards. Data derived from governmental and international reports indicates that when women achieve equitable access to agricultural technologies and extension services, the productivity disparities between male and female farmers are substantially reduced. Furthermore, agritech promotes livelihood diversification, a critical factor in a context where traditional agriculture alone is insufficient to support rural households.

Women's involvement in Agri-processing, value addition, digital marketplaces, seed enterprises, and Agri-services fosters alternative income opportunities, thereby mitigating reliance on poorly compensated agricultural labor. Agritech ventures and Farmer Producer Organisations (FPOs) led by women have shown the capacity to create local employment, especially for other rural women, thus bolstering economic resilience at the community level. Furthermore, women's participation in agritech contributes to the promotion of sustainable agricultural practices. Research suggests that women farmers are more inclined to embrace resource-conserving practices, crop diversification, and climate-adaptive strategies when offered suitable technological assistance. Digital platforms that incorporate climate-smart agriculture, soil health management, and water-use efficiency are closely aligned with women's responsibilities in managing household food security and natural resources. From a societal standpoint, the integration of women into agritech yields beneficial spillover effects. Enhanced income and augmented decision-making authority correlate with better household nutrition, educational achievements, and health metrics. These results, in turn, bolster intergenerational progress and contribute to overall rural prosperity. Consequently, women's involvement in

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

agritech produces both economic and social benefits, thereby constituting a high-impact investment for rural advancement.

6.2 Agritech, Entrepreneurship, and Women's Economic Agency

Agritech is reshaping the landscape for women entrepreneurs in rural communities, especially via digital platforms and startup networks. Women are making their mark in agritech, with startups, cooperatives, and self-help groups taking on roles in input supply, digital advisory services, Agri-logistics, and market access. These ventures are pushing against established gender roles, showcasing women as both innovators and leaders within agricultural value chains. Government-backed programs, such as startup incubators, FPO promotion schemes, and credit-linked initiatives, are starting to foster supportive environments for women in agritech. These initiatives, when coupled with digital resources and market opportunities, serve to augment women's economic agency and bargaining power. Furthermore, women's leadership within agritech organizations fosters more inclusive governance structures. Organizations led by women tend to prioritize collective advantages, local employment opportunities, and social outcomes, in addition to financial profitability. Consequently, this approach aligns agritech development with wider objectives of inclusive and sustainable rural advancement.

6.3 Constraints, Risks, and Structural Barriers (Cons)

Despite the previously mentioned potential, significant challenges continue to hinder women's participation in agritech. The digital gender divide represents a particularly persistent barrier. Rural women are less likely than men to own smartphones, have reliable internet access, or possess digital literacy skills. These inequalities are intensified by lower levels of formal education and restricted mobility, thus limiting women's ability to engage with technology-driven platforms. Moreover, land ownership and asset disparities further impede women's access to agritech. Many agritech services, credit initiatives, and insurance products are contingent upon land titles, which women often lack. This exclusionary practice restricts women's access to the benefits provided by formal agritech systems, consequently exacerbating their marginalization within agricultural value chains.

The increasing integration of artificial intelligence and data-centric technologies introduces additional complexities. AI systems rely on datasets that often inadequately reflect women's agricultural practices, labor inputs, and knowledge frameworks. As a result, algorithmic suggestions may not effectively address women's requirements and could inadvertently perpetuate existing biases. In the absence of gender-sensitive data governance, AI-driven agritech risks becoming exclusionary rather than transformative. Moreover, the automation and mechanization associated with agritech could displace women from conventional agricultural roles without providing alternative employment prospects or avenues for skill enhancement. This scenario raises concerns about technological unemployment and the intensification of gendered labor disparities in rural contexts. Moreover, the automation and mechanization associated with agritech could displace women from traditional agricultural roles, without providing alternative employment or opportunities for skill development. This situation raises concerns about technological unemployment and the worsening of gender-based labor inequalities in rural areas.

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

6.4 Institutional and Policy Gaps

Although Indian policy is progressively emphasizing agritech, the incorporation of gender perspectives remains uneven. Programs such as the Digital Agriculture Mission, Agri Stack, and diverse Agri-startup initiatives focus on technological infrastructure and market optimization; however, they often overlook gender-specific challenges. These challenges encompass unpaid care duties, safety issues, and resource accessibility. Women are frequently depicted as passive beneficiaries, rather than active agents or decision-makers, within agritech frameworks.

Moreover, the effectiveness of these policies is reduced by problems in how they are put into practice. Insufficient collaboration among departments focused on agriculture, rural development, and women's empowerment leads to fragmented interventions. Consequently, monitoring and evaluation processes seldom utilize gender-disaggregated indicators, thereby impeding the assessment of outcomes for women.

CONCLUSION

Women's involvement in agritech is crucial for fostering a sustainable, inclusive, and resilient rural economy within India. This research has shown that agritech offers considerable promise in augmenting agricultural productivity, diversifying rural livelihoods, and bolstering climate resilience. Nevertheless, these advantages are contingent upon women being empowered as active participants in technological transformation, rather than merely passive beneficiaries of development initiatives. The analysis highlights that gender disparities in access to land, technology, skills, and institutional backing impede both equity and economic efficiency. Addressing these structural limitations is not merely a question of social equity; it represents a strategic necessity for the economic revitalization of rural areas. Data derived from both Indian governmental programs and international assessments uniformly demonstrate that investments targeting women generate substantial social and economic benefits. Consequently, the path ahead necessitates the integration of gender considerations into agritech policies and initiatives.

Initially, the expansion of digital infrastructure and connectivity within rural regions is essential, with a particular emphasis on ensuring women's access and affordability. Furthermore, digital literacy and skill development programs should be formulated to account for women's time limitations and caregiving obligations. Second, agritech policies must address asset inequality by strengthening women’s land rights and access to credit. Linking agritech services to women-led FPOs, self-help groups, and cooperatives can help overcome individual constraints and enhance collective bargaining power. Thirdly, the incorporation of gender-responsive principles is essential within AI and data governance frameworks. This necessitates the collection of gender-disaggregated data, the recognition of women's agricultural expertise, and the establishment of transparency and accountability in algorithmic decision-making processes. Furthermore, participatory technology design, which actively involves women throughout all phases from development to implementation is of paramount importance. Fourthly, institutional synchronization between agriculture, rural development, and women’s empowerment ministries should be reinforced to ensure integrated policy responses. Monitoring frameworks must include gender-specific indicators to track outcomes and ensure accountability. In conclusion, empowering women in agritech is not a peripheral development goal but a cornerstone of inclusive rural transformation. By recognising women as innovators, entrepreneurs, and leaders within agritech ecosystems, India can unlock

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

untapped potential, strengthen rural economies, and advance sustainable national development in the era of AI-driven agriculture.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Indian Council of Social Science Research (ICSSR). The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by ICSSR for the successful completion of this study.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, B. (1994). *A field of one's own: Gender and land rights in South Asia*. Cambridge University Press.

Agarwal, B. (2010). Gender and green governance: The political economy of women's presence within and beyond community forestry. *Oxford University Press*.

Agarwal, B. (2018). Gender equality, food security and the sustainable development goals. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 34*, 26–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2018.07.002

Barman, N. (2024). *Need of skill development program to empowering women: An initiative of Skill India Mission*. https://doi.org/10.58532/V3BISOP3CH3

Deshpande, A., & Kabeer, N. (2019). (In)visibility, care, and cultural barriers: The Indian female labour force participation puzzle. *Feminist Economics, 25*(4), 168–194. https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2019.1619813

Deshpande, A., & Kabeer, N. (2024). Norms that matter: Exploring the distribution of women's work between income generation, expenditure-saving and unpaid domestic responsibilities in India. *World Development, 174*, 106435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2023.106435

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2011). *The state of food and agriculture 2010–11: Women in agriculture—Closing the gender gap for development*. FAO. https://www.fao.org/3/i2050e/i2050e.pdf

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2018). *Gender and digitalization in agriculture*. FAO. https://www.fao.org/3/CA4887EN/ca4887en.pdf

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2022). *The status of women in agrifood systems*. FAO. https://www.fao.org/3/cc5060en/cc5060en.pdf

Gautam, S. (2023). Impact of vocational training for women empowerment. *Academic View: Journal of TUTA Tri-Chandra Campus Unit, 9*(1), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.3126/acadview.v9i1.71219

Government of India. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. Ministry of Education. https://www.education.gov.in

Government of India. (2021). *Digital agriculture mission 2021–2025*. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. https://agricoop.gov.in

Government of India. (2022). *Formation and promotion of farmer producer organizations: Operational guidelines*. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. https://agricoop.gov.in

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

International Fund for Agricultural Development. (2019). *Gender equality and women's empowerment in rural areas*. IFAD. https://www.ifad.org/en/gender

International Fund for Agricultural Development. (2021). *Transforming rural livelihoods through digital innovation*. IFAD. https://www.ifad.org/en/web/latest/-/publication/digital-innovation

Kabeer, N. (2015). Gender, poverty, and inequality: A brief history of feminist contributions in the field of international development. *Feminist Economics, 21*(3), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2015.1018605

Kumar, N. (Ed.). (2008). *Women and science in India: A reader*. Oxford University Press.

Mathur, A., Sharan, M., Chakraborty, S., & Mullick, S. (2022). Technical and vocational education and training: Examining changing conditions in India. *Environmental Sciences Proceedings, 15*(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.3390/environsciproc2022015031

NITI Aayog. (2021). *Transforming agriculture through digital innovation*. Government of India. https://www.niti.gov.in

NITI Aayog. (2022). *Women entrepreneurship platform: Annual report*. Government of India. https://wep.gov.in

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2018). *Bridging the digital gender divide*. OECD. https://www.oecd.org

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.

UN Women. (2022). *Gender equality in the digital age*. UN Women. https://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications

World Bank. (2019). *Harvesting prosperity: Technology and productivity growth in agriculture*. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture

World Bank. (2020). *Women, business and the law 2020*. World Bank. https://wbl.worldbank.org

World Economic Forum. (2023). *Global gender gap report 2023*. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-gender-gap-report-2023

World Economic Forum. (2023). *Artificial intelligence in agriculture: Opportunities and risks*. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org

© 2025 The Authors, Published by (PHJSSH). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)